

LANGUAGE-CONSTRAINT REACHABILITY LEARNING IN PROBABILISTIC GRAPHS

CLAUDIO TARANTO, NICOLA DI MAURO, AND FLORIANA ESPOSITO

ABSTRACT. The probabilistic graphs framework models the uncertainty inherent in real-world domains by means of probabilistic edges whose value quantifies the likelihood of the edge existence or the strength of the link it represents. The goal of this paper is to provide a learning method to compute the most likely relationship between two nodes in a framework based on probabilistic graphs. In particular, given a probabilistic graph we adopted the language-constraint reachability method to compute the probability of possible interconnections that may exists between two nodes. Each of these connections may be viewed as feature, or a factor, between the two nodes and the corresponding probability as its weight. Each observed link is considered as a positive instance for its corresponding link label. Given the training set of observed links a L2-regularized Logistic Regression has been adopted to learn a model able to predict unobserved link labels. The experiments on a real world collaborative filtering problem proved that the proposed approach achieves better results than that obtained adopting classical methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last few years the extension of graph structures with uncertainty has become an important research topic [19, 26, 27, 12], leading to *probabilistic graph*¹ model. Probabilistic graphs model uncertainty by means of probabilistic edges whose value quantifies the likelihood of the edge existence or the strength of the link it represents. One of the main issues in probabilistic graphs is how to compute the connectivity of the network. The network reliability problem [4] is a generalization of the pairwise reachability, in which the goal is to determine the probability that all pairs of nodes are reachable from one another. Unlike a deterministic graph in which the reachability function is a binary value function indicating whether or not there is a path connecting two nodes, in the case of probabilistic graphs the function assumes probabilistic values.

The concept of *reachability* in probabilistic graphs is used, along with its specialization, as a tool to compute how two nodes in the graph are likely to be connected. Reachability plays an important role in wide range of applications, such as in peer-to-peer networks [3, 18], for probabilistic-routing problem [2, 10], in road network [11], and in trust analysis in social networks [22]. As adopted in these works, reachability is quite similar to the general concept of *link prediction* [9], whose task may be formalized as follows. Given a networked structure (V, E) made up of a set of data instances V and set of observed links E among some nodes in V , the task corresponds to predict how likely should exist an unobserved link between two nodes in the network.

The extension to probabilistic graphs adds an important ingredient that should be adequately exploited. The key difference with respect to classical link prediction methods is that here the observed connections between two nodes cannot be considered always true,

¹The names *probabilistic graphs* and *uncertain graphs* are usually used to refer the same framework.

and hence methods exploiting probabilistic links are needed. Link prediction can be specialized into link existence prediction, where one wants to asses whether two nodes should be connected, and link classification, where one is interested in computing the most likely relationship existing between two nodes.

The goal of this paper is to provide a learning method to compute the most likely relationship between two nodes in probabilistic graphs. In particular, given a probabilistic graph we adopted the reachability tool to compute the probability of some possible interconnections that may exists between two nodes. Each of these connections may be viewed as a feature, or a factor, between the two nodes and the corresponding probability as its weight. Each observed labeled link is considered as a positive instance for its corresponding link label. In particular, the link label corresponds to the value of the output variable y_i , and the features between the two nodes, computed with the reachability tool, correspond to the components of the corresponding vector \mathbf{x}_i . Given the training set $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, obtained from n observed links, a L2-regularized Logistic Regression has been adopted to learn a model to be used to predict unobserved link labels.

The application domain we chosen corresponds to the problem of recommender systems [7], where the aim is to predict the unknown rating between an user and an item. The experiments on a real-world dataset prove that the proposed approach achieves better results than that obtained with models induced by Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) [20] on the user-item ratings matrix, representing one of the best recent methods for this kind of task [15]. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the probabilistic graphs framework, Section 3 describes the proposed link classification approach, Section 5 describes related works, and finally Section 4 shows the experimental results.

2. PROBABILISTIC GRAPHS

Let $G = (V, E)$, be a graph where V is a collection of nodes and $E \in V \times V$ is the set of edges, or relationships, between the nodes.

Definition 1 (Probabilistic graph). A probabilistic graph is a system $G = (V, E, \Sigma, l_V, l_E, P_e)$, where (V, E) is an undirected graph, V is the set of nodes, E is the set of edges, Σ is a set of labels, $l_V : V \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a function assigning labels to nodes, $l_E : E \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a function assigning labels to the edges, and $P_e : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a function assigning existence probability values to the edges.

The existence probability $P_e(a)$ of an edge $a = (u, v) \in E$ is the probability that the edge a , between u and v , can exist in the graph. A particular case of probabilistic graph is the *discrete graph*², where binary edges between nodes represent the presence or absence of a relationship between them, i.e., the existence probability value on all observed edges is 1.

The *possible world semantics* is usually used for probabilistic graphs. We can imagine a probabilistic graph G as a sampler of worlds, where each world is an instance of G . A discrete graph G' is sampled from G according to the probability distribution P_e , denoted as $G' \sqsubseteq G$, when each edge $a \in E$ is selected to be an edge of G' with probability $P_e(a)$. Edges labeled with probabilities are treated as mutually independent random variables indicating whether or not the corresponding edge belongs to a discrete graph.

²Sometimes called *certain graph*.

Assuming independence among edges, the probability distribution over discrete graphs $G' = (V, E') \sqsubseteq G = (V, E)$ is given by

$$(1) \quad P(G'|G) = \prod_{a \in E'} P_e(a) \prod_{a \in E \setminus E'} (1 - P_e(a)).$$

Definition 2 (Simple path). *Given a probabilistic graph G , a simple path of a length k from u to v in G is a sequence of edges $p_{u,v} = \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k \rangle$, such that $e_1 = (u, v_1)$, $e_k = (v_{k-1}, v)$, and $e_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$ for $1 < i < k$, and all nodes in the path are distinct.*

Given G a probabilistic graph, and $p_{s,t} = \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k \rangle$ a simple path in G from node s to node t , $l(p_{s,t}) = l_E(e_1)l_E(e_2) \cdots l_E(e_k)$ denotes the concatenation of the labels of all edges in $p_{s,t}$. In order to give the following definition, we recall that given a context free grammar (CFG) \mathcal{C} a string of terminals s is derivable from \mathcal{C} iff $s \in L(\mathcal{C})$, where $L(\mathcal{C})$ is the language generated from \mathcal{C} .

Definition 3 (Language constrained simple path). *Given a probabilistic graph G and a context free grammar \mathcal{C} , a language constrained simple path is a simple path p such that $l(p) \in L(\mathcal{C})$.*

2.1. Inference. Given a probabilistic graph G a main task corresponds to compute the probability that there exists a simple path between two nodes u and v , that is, querying for the probability that a randomly sampled discrete graph contains a simple path between u and v . More formally, the *existence probability* $P_e(q|G)$ of a simple path q in a probabilistic graph G corresponds to the marginal $P(G'|G)$ with respect to q :

$$(2) \quad P_e(q|G) = \sum_{G' \sqsubseteq G} P(q|G') \cdot P(G'|G)$$

where $P(q|G') = 1$ if there exists the simple path q in G' , and $P(q|G') = 0$ otherwise. In other words, the existence probability of the simple path q is the probability that the simple path q exists in a randomly sampled discrete graph.

Definition 4 (Language constrained simple path probability). *Given a probabilistic graph G and a context free grammar \mathcal{C} , the language constrained simple path probability of $L(\mathcal{C})$ is*

$$(3) \quad P(L(\mathcal{C})|G) = \sum_{G' \sqsubseteq G} P(q|G', L(\mathcal{C})) \cdot P(G'|G)$$

where $P(q|G', L(\mathcal{C})) = 1$ if there exists a simple path q in G' such that $l(q) \in L(\mathcal{C})$, and $P(q|G', L(\mathcal{C})) = 0$ otherwise.

In particular, the previous definition give us the possibility to compute the probability of a set of simple path queries fulfilling the structure imposed by a context free grammar. In this way we are interested in discrete graphs that contain at least one simple path belonging to the language corresponding to the given grammar.

Computing the existence probability directly using (2) or (3) is intensive and intractable for large graphs since the number of discrete graphs to be checked is exponential in the number of probabilistic edges. It involves computing the existence of the simple path in every discrete graph and accumulating their probability. A natural way to overcome the intractability of computing the existence probability of a simple path is to approximate it using a Monte Carlo sampling approach [13]: 1) we sample n possible discrete graphs, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n from G by sampling edges uniformly at random according to their edge

probabilities; and 2) we check if the simple path exists in each sampled graph G_i . This process provides the following basic sampling estimator for $P_e(q|G)$:

$$(4) \quad \widehat{P}_e(q|G) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n P(q|G_i)}{n}$$

Note that it is not necessary to sample all edges to check whether the graph contains the path. For instance, assuming to use an iterative depth first search procedure to check the path existence. When a node is just visited, we will sample all its adjacent edges and pushing them into the stack used by the iterative procedure. We will stop the procedure either when the target node is reached or when the stack is empty (non existence).

3. LINK CLASSIFICATION

After having defined the probabilistic graph, now we can adopt language constrained simple paths in order to extract probabilistic features to describe the link between two nodes in the graph.

Given a probabilistic graph G , with the set V of nodes and the set E of edges, and $Y \subseteq \Sigma$ a set of edge labels, we have a set of edges $D \subseteq E$ such that for each element $e \in D$: $l_E(e) \in Y$. In particular D represents the set of observed links whose label belongs to the set Y . Given the set of training links D and the set of labels Y we want to learn a model able to correctly classify unobserved links.

3.1. Query based classification. A way to solve the classification task can be that of using a language based classification approach. Given an unobserved edge $e_i = (u_i, v_i)$, in order to predict its class $\widehat{y}_i \in Y$ we can solve the following maximization problem:

$$(5) \quad \widehat{y}_i = \arg \max_j P(q_j(u_i, v_i)|G),$$

where $q_j(u_i, v_i)$ is the unknown link with label $q_j \in Y$ between the nodes u_i and v_i . In particular, the maximization problem corresponds to compute the link prediction for each $q_j \in Y$ and then choosing that label with maximum likelihood. The previous link prediction task is based on querying the probability of some language constrained simple path. In particular, predicting the probability of the label q_j as $P(q_j(u_i, v_i)|G)$ in (5) corresponds to compute the probability $P(q|G)$ for a query path in a language L_j , i.e., computing $P(L_j|G)$ as in (3):

$$(6) \quad \widehat{y}_j = \arg \max_j P(q_j(u_i, v_i)|G) \approx \arg \max_j P(L_j|G).$$

3.2. Feature based classification. The previous query based classification approach consider the languages used to compute the (6) as independent form each other without considering any correlation between them. A more interesting approach that we want investigate in this paper is to learn from the probabilistic graph a linear model of classification combining the prediction of each language constrained simple path.

In particular, given an edge e and a set of k languages $\mathcal{L} = \{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$, we can generate k real valued features x_i where $x_i = P(L_i|G)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. The original training set of observed links D can hence be transformed into the set of instances $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$, where \mathbf{x}_i is a k -component vector of features $x_{ij} \in [0, 1]$, and y_i is the class label of the corresponding example \mathbf{x}_i .

3.2.1. L2-regularized Logistic Regression. Linear classification represents one of the most promising learning technique for problems with a huge number of instances and features aiming at learning a weight vector \mathbf{w} as a model. L2-regularized Logistic Regression belongs to the class of linear classifier and solves the following unconstrained optimization problem:

$$(7) \quad \min_{\mathbf{w}} f(\mathbf{w}) = \left(\frac{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}}{2} + C \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)) \right),$$

where $\log(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)) = \xi(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$ denotes the specific loss function, $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$ is the regularized term, and $C > 0$ is a penalty parameter. The decision function corresponds to $\text{sgn}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)$. In case of binary classification $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$, while for multi class problems the one vs the rest strategy can be used.

Among many methods for training logistic regression models, such as iterative scaling, nonlinear conjugate gradient, quasi Newton, a new efficient and robust truncated Newton, called trust region Newton method, has been proposed [17].

In order to find the parameters \mathbf{w} minimizing $f(\mathbf{w})$ it is necessary to set the derivative of $f(\mathbf{w})$ to zero. Denoting with $\sigma(y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i) = (1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i))^{-1}$, we have:

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma(y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i) - 1) y_i \mathbf{x}_i = 0.$$

To solve the previous score equation, the Newton method requires the Hessian matrix:

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w} \partial \mathbf{w}^T} = \mathbf{I} + C \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{X},$$

where \mathbf{X} is the matrix of the \mathbf{x}_i values, \mathbf{D} is a diagonal matrix of weights with i th diagonal element $\sigma(y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)(1 - \sigma(y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i))$, and \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix.

The Newton step is

$$\mathbf{w}^{\text{new}} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}^{\text{old}} + \mathbf{s}^{\text{old}},$$

where \mathbf{s}^{old} is the solution of the following linear system:

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(\mathbf{w}^{\text{old}})}{\partial \mathbf{w} \partial \mathbf{w}^T} \mathbf{s}^{\text{old}} = -\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{w}^{\text{old}})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}.$$

Instead of using this update rule, [17] propose a robust and efficient trust region Newton method, using new rules for updating the trust region, whose corresponding algorithm has been implemented in the LIBLINEAR³ system.

4. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

The application domain we chosen to validate the proposed approach is that of recommender systems. In some domains both data and probabilistic relationships between them are observable, while in other domain, like in this used in this paper, it is necessary to elicit the uncertain relationships among the given evidence.

³<http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/liblinear>.

4.1. Probabilistic graph creation. A common approach to elicit probabilistic hidden relationships between data is based on using similarity measures. To model the data with a graph we can adopt different similarity measures for each type of node involved in the relationships. For instance we can define a similarity measure between homogeneous nodes and one for heterogeneous nodes.

In a recommender system we have two types of entities: the users and the items, and the only observed relationship corresponds to the ratings that a user has assigned to a set of items. The goal is to predict the rating a user could assign to an object that he never rated in the past. In the collaborative filtering approach there are two methods to predict unknown rating exploiting users or items similarity. User-oriented methods estimate unknown ratings based on previous ratings of similar users, while in item-oriented approaches ratings are estimated using previous ratings given by the same user on similar items.

Let U be a set of n users and I a set of m items. A rating r_{ui} indicates the preference degree the user u expressed for the item i , where high values mean stronger preference. Let S_u be the set of items rated from user u . A user-based approach predicts an unobserved rating \widehat{r}_{ui} as follows:

$$(8) \quad \widehat{r}_{ui} = \overline{r}_u + \frac{\sum_{v \in U | i \in S_u} \sigma_u(u, v) \cdot (r_{vi} - \overline{r}_v)}{\sum_{v \in U | i \in S_u} |\sigma_u(u, v)|}$$

where \overline{r}_u represents the mean rating of user u , and $\sigma_u(u, v)$ stands for the similarity between users u and v , computed, for instance, using the Pearson correlation:

$$\sigma_u(u, v) = \frac{\sum_{a \in S_u \cap S_v} (r_{ua} - \overline{r}_u) \cdot (r_{va} - \overline{r}_v)}{\sqrt{\sum_{a \in S_u \cap S_v} (r_{ua} - \overline{r}_u)^2 \sum_{a \in S_u \cap S_v} (r_{va} - \overline{r}_v)^2}}$$

On the other side, item-based approaches predict the rating of a given item using the following formula:

$$(9) \quad \widehat{r}_{ui} = \frac{\sum_{j \in S_u | j \neq i} \sigma_i(i, j) \cdot r_{uj}}{\sum_{j \in S_u | j \neq i} |\sigma_i(i, j)|},$$

where $\sigma_i(i, j)$ is the similarity between the item i and j .

These neighbourhood approaches see each user connected to other users or consider each item related to other items as in a network structure. In particular they rely on the direct connections among the entities involved in the domain. However, as recently proved, techniques able to consider complex relationships among the entities, leveraging the information already present in the network, involves an improvement in the processes of querying and mining [25, 23, 24].

Given the set of observed ratings $\mathcal{K} = \{(u, i, r_{ui}) | r_{ui} \text{ is known}\}$, we add a node with label `user` for each user in \mathcal{K} , and a node with label `item` for each item in \mathcal{K} . The next step is to add the edges among the nodes. Each edge is characterized by a label and a probability value, which should indicate the degree of similarity between the two nodes. Two kind of connections between nodes are added. For each user u , we added an edge, labeled as `simU`, between u and the k most similar users to u . The similarity between two users u and v is computed adopting a weighted Pearson correlation between the items rated by both u and v . In particular, the probability of the edge `simU` connecting two users u and v is computed as:

$$P(\text{simU}(u, v)) = \sigma_u(u, v) \cdot w_u(u, v),$$

where $\sigma_u(u, v)$ is the Pearson correlation between the vectors of ratings corresponding to the set of items rated by both user u and user v , and $w_u(u, v) = \frac{|S_u \cap S_v|}{|S_u \cup S_v|}$.

For each item i , we added an edge, with label simI , between i and the most k similar items to i . In particular, the probability of the edge simI connecting the item i to the item j has been computed as:

$$P(\text{simI}(i, j)) = \sigma_i(i, j) \cdot w_i(i, j),$$

where $\sigma_i(i, j)$ is the Pearson correlation between the vectors corresponding to the histogram of the set of ratings for the item i and the item j , and $w_i(i, j) = \frac{|\overline{S}_i \cap \overline{S}_j|}{|\overline{S}_i \cup \overline{S}_j|}$, where \overline{S}_i is the set of users rating the item i .

Finally, edges with probability equal to 1, and with label r_k between the user u and the item i , denoting the user u has rated the item i with a score equal to k , are added for each element r_{ui} belonging to \mathcal{K} .

4.2. Feature construction. Let us assume that the values of r_{ui} are discrete and belonging to a set R . Given the recommender probabilistic graph G , the query based classification approach, as reported in Section 3.1, try to solve the problem $\widehat{r}_{ui} = \arg \max_j P(r_j(u, i)|G)$, where $r_j(u, i)$ is the unknown link with label r_j between the user u and the item i . This link prediction task is based on querying the probability of some language constrained simple path. For instance, a user-based collaborative filtering approach may be obtained by querying the probability of the paths, starting from a user node and ending to an item node, belonging to the context free language (CFL) $L_i = \{\text{simU}^1 r_i^1\}$. In particular, predicting the probability of the rating j as $P(r_j(u, i))$ corresponds to compute the probability $P(q|G)$ for a query path in L_j , i.e., $\widehat{r}_{ui} = \arg \max_j P(r_j(u, i)|G) \approx \arg \max_j P(L_j|G)$.

In the same way, item-based approach could be obtained by computing the probability of the paths belonging to the CFL $L_i = \{r_i^1 \text{simI}^1\}$. The power of the proposed framework gives us the possibility to construct more complex queries such as that belonging to the CFL $L_i = \{r_i \text{simI}^n : 1 \leq n \leq 2\}$, that gives us the possibility to explore the graph by considering not only direct connections. Hybrid queries, such as those belonging to the CFL $L_i = \{r_i \text{simI}^n : 1 \leq n \leq 2\} \cup \{\text{simU}^m r_i^1 : 1 \leq m \leq 2\}$, give us the possibility to combine the user information with item information.

In order to use the feature based classification approach proposed in this paper we can define a set of CFLs \mathcal{L} and then computing for each language $L_i \in \mathcal{L}$ the probability $P(L_i|G)$ between a given user and all the items the user rated. In particular, the set of observed ratings $\mathcal{K} = \{(u, i, r_{ui}) | r_{ui} \text{ is known}\}$ is mapped to the training set $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$, where x_{ij} is the probability $P(L_j|G)$ between the nodes u and i , and y_i is equal to r_{ui} .

The proposed link classification method has been implemented in the `Eagle` system⁴ that provides a set of tools to deal with probabilistic graphs.

4.3. Dataset. In order to validate the proposed approach we used the MovieLens dataset⁵, made available by the GroupLens research group at University of Minnesota for the 2nd International Workshop on Information Heterogeneity and Fusion in Recommender Systems. We used the MovieLens 100K version consisting of 100000 ratings (ranging from 1 to 5) regarding 943 users and 1682 movies, whose class distribution is reported in Table 1. Each user has rated at least 20 movies and there are simple demographic info for the users (such as age, gender, occupation, and zip code). The data was collected through the

⁴<http://www.di.uniba.it/~claudiotoranto/eagle.html>

⁵<http://ir.ii.uam.es/hetrec2011/datasets.html>

TABLE 1. MovieLens dataset class distribution.

| r1 | r2 | r3 | r4 | r5 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 6110 | 11370 | 27145 | 34174 | 21201 |

TABLE 2. Language constrained simple paths used for the MovieLens dataset.

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= \{\text{simU}^1 r_k^1\} \\
L_2 &= \{r_k^1 \text{simF}^1\} \\
L_3 &= \{r_k^1 \text{simF}^n : 1 \leq n \leq 2\} \\
L_4 &= \{\text{simU}^n r_k^1 : 1 \leq n \leq 2\} \\
L_5 &= \{\text{simU}^n r_k^1 : 1 \leq n \leq 2\} \cup \{r_k^1 \text{simF}^n : 1 \leq n \leq 2\} \\
L_6 &= \{r_k^1 \text{simF}^n : 1 \leq n \leq 3\} \\
L_7 &= \{\text{simU}^n r_k^1 : 1 \leq n \leq 3\} \\
L_8 &= \{\text{simU}^n r_k^1 : 1 \leq n \leq 3\} \cup \{r_k^1 \text{simF}^n : 1 \leq n \leq 3\} \\
L_9 &= \{\text{simU}^n r_k^1 : 1 \leq n \leq 4\} \cup \{r_k^1 \text{simF}^n : 1 \leq n \leq 4\}
\end{aligned}$$

MovieLens web site during the seven-month period from September 19th, 1997 through April 22nd, 1998. In this paper we used the ratings only without considering the demographic information. MovieLens 100K dataset is divided in 5 fold, where each fold present a training data (consisting of 80000 ratings) and a test data (with 20000 ratings).

For each training/testing fold the validation procedure followed the following steps:

- (1) creating the probabilistic graph from the training ratings data set as reported Section 4.1;
- (2) defining a set \mathcal{L} of context free languages corresponding to be used to construct a specific set of features as described in Section 4.2;
- (3) learning the L2-regularized Logistic Regression model; and
- (4) testing the ratings reported in the testing data set \mathcal{T} by computing, for each pair $(u, i) \in \mathcal{T}$ the predicted rating adopting the learned classification model and comparing the result with the true prediction reported in \mathcal{T} .

For the graph construction, edges are added using the procedure presented in Section 4.1, where we set the parameter $n = 30$, indicating that an user or a film is connected, respectively, to 30 most similar users, resp. films. The value of each feature have been obtained with the Monte Carlo inference procedure by sampling 100 discrete graphs.

In order to construct the set of features, we proposed to query the paths belonging to the set of languages \mathcal{L} reported in Table 2. The first language constrained simple paths L_1 corresponds to adopt a user-based approach, while the second language L_2 gives us the possibility to apply an item-based approach. Then, we propose to extend the basic languages L_1 and L_2 in order to construct features that consider a neighbourhood with many nested levels. In particular, instead of considering the direct neighbours only, we inspect the probabilistic graph following a path with a maximum length of two (L_3 and L_4) and three edges (L_6 and L_7). Finally, we constructed hybrid features by combining both the user-based and item-based methods and the large neighbourhood explored with paths whose length is greater than one (L_5 , L_8 and L_9). We defined two sets of features $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5\}$, based on simple languages, and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{L_3, L_4, L_5, L_6, L_7, L_8, L_9\}$, exploiting more complex queries. In order to learn the classification model as reported in Section 3.2.1, we used the L2-regularized Logistic Regression implementation included in the LIBLINEAR system [17].

TABLE 3. MAE^M values obtained with `Eagle` and SVD on MovieLens dataset.

| Fold | SVD | <code>Eagle</code> @ \mathcal{F}_1 | <code>Eagle</code> @ \mathcal{F}_2 | U | C |
|---------|-------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|------|
| 1 | 0.9021 | 0.8424 | 0.8255 | | |
| 2 | 0.9034 | 0.8332 | 0.8279 | | |
| 3 | 0.9111 | 0.8464 | 0.8362 | | |
| 4 | 0.9081 | 0.8527 | 0.8372 | | |
| 5 | 0.9159 | 0.8596 | 0.8502 | | |
| Mean | 0.908±0.006 | 0.847±0.01 | 0.835±0.01 | 1.6 | 1.51 |
| p-value | | 2.3E-6 | 5.09E-7 | | |

Given a set \mathcal{T} of testing instances, the accuracy of the proposed framework has been evaluated according to the *macroaveraging mean absolute error* (MAE^M) [1]:

$$MAE^M(\widehat{r}_{ui}, \mathcal{T}) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{|T_j|} \sum_{x_i \in T_j} |\widehat{r}_{ui} - r_{ui}|$$

where $T_j \subset \mathcal{T}$ denotes the set of test rating whose true class is j .

4.4. Results. Table 3 shows the results obtained adopting the proposed approach implemented in the `Eagle` system when compared to those obtained with the RecSys SVD approach based implementation⁶. The first row reports the mean value of the MAE^M averaged on the five folds obtained with an SVD approach and with the proposed classification method as implemented in the `Eagle` system. As we can see the error achieved by our method is lower than that obtained by the SVD method. The results improve when we use the set \mathcal{F}_2 of features. The difference of the results obtained with the two methods is statistically significant, with a p-value for the t-test equal to 0.0000023 when using the set \mathcal{F}_1 of features, and equal to 0.000000509 for the other set of features. The last two columns report the results of two baseline methods. The second last column reports the results obtained with a system that predicts a rating adopting a uniform distribution, while the last column reports the results of a system that uses a categorical distribution that predicts the value k of a rating with probability $p_k = |D_k|/N$, where D_k is the number of ratings belonging to the dataset having value k , and N is the total number of ratings.

In Table 4 we can see the errors committed by each method on each rating class. The rows for the methods U and C report the mean of the MAE^M value for each fold using a system adopting a uniform or a categorical distribution. The dataset is not balanced as reported in the Table 1. As we can see both the SVD and the `Eagle` system adhere more to the categorical distribution proving that they are able to recognize the unbalanced distribution of the dataset

5. RELATED WORKS

In [19] the authors provide a list of alternative shortest-path distance measures for probabilistic graphs in order to discover the k closest nodes to a given node. Their work is related to the that of stochastic shortest path problem that deals with the computing of the probability density function of the shortest path length for a pair of nodes [8]. They provide a scalable solution for the k-NN problem by using a direct sampling approach that approximates the shortest-path probability between two nodes adopting a sampling of n

⁶<https://github.com/ocelma/python-recsys>

TABLE 4. MAE^M values for each class obtained with `Eagle` and SVD on MovieLens dataset.

| Fold | Method | r1 | r2 | r3 | r4 | r5 |
|------|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1 | SVD | 1.58 | 1.04 | 0.56 | 0.44 | 0.86 |
| | $\text{Eagle}@\mathcal{F}_1$ | 1.11 | 0.76 | 0.69 | 0.61 | 1.02 |
| | $\text{Eagle}@\mathcal{F}_2$ | 1.03 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.99 |
| 2 | SVD | 1.60 | 1.04 | 0.55 | 0.43 | 0.87 |
| | $\text{Eagle}@\mathcal{F}_1$ | 1.11 | 0.77 | 0.67 | 0.58 | 1.02 |
| | $\text{Eagle}@\mathcal{F}_2$ | 1.05 | 0.77 | 0.68 | 0.60 | 1.00 |
| 3 | SVD | 1.65 | 0.99 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.89 |
| | $\text{Eagle}@\mathcal{F}_1$ | 1.20 | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 1.02 |
| | $\text{Eagle}@\mathcal{F}_2$ | 1.15 | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.98 |
| 4 | SVD | 1.62 | 1.04 | 0.53 | 0.45 | 0.87 |
| | $\text{Eagle}@\mathcal{F}_1$ | 1.21 | 0.75 | 0.66 | 0.59 | 1.02 |
| | $\text{Eagle}@\mathcal{F}_2$ | 1.14 | 0.75 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 1.02 |
| 5 | SVD | 1.65 | 1.03 | 0.55 | 0.44 | 0.89 |
| | $\text{Eagle}@\mathcal{F}_1$ | 1.19 | 0.76 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 1.03 |
| | $\text{Eagle}@\mathcal{F}_2$ | 1.16 | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 1.01 |
| Mean | U | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| | C | 2.53 | 1.65 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 1.47 |
| | SVD | 1.62 | 1.03 | 0.55 | 0.44 | 0.88 |
| | $\text{Eagle}@\mathcal{F}_1$ | 1.16 | 0.76 | 0.67 | 0.60 | 1.02 |
| | $\text{Eagle}@\mathcal{F}_2$ | 1.11 | 0.75 | 0.68 | 0.62 | 1.00 |

possible discrete graphs from the probabilistic graph and hence computing the shortest path distance in each sampled discrete graph. In [6], the problem of finding a shortest path on a probabilistic graph is addressed by transforming each edge probability to its expected value and then running the Dijkstra algorithm.

Authors in [13] investigated a more generalized and informative distance-constraint reachability (DCR) query problem: given two nodes s and t in an probabilistic graph G , the aim is to compute the probability that the distance form s to t is less than or equal to d . They show that the simple reachability problem without constraint becomes a special case of the distance-constraint reachability, considering the case where the threshold d is larger than the length of the longest path. In order to solve the DCR problem they provide an estimator based on a direct sampling approach and two new estimators based on unequal probability sampling and recursive sampling [13]. Furthermore, they proposed a divide and conquer exact algorithm that compute exact s-t DCR by recursively partitioning all the possible discrete graphs from the probabilistic graph into groups so that the reachability of these groups can be computed easily.

The need to model the uncertainty inherent in the data has increased the attention on *probabilistic databases*. In this framework exact approaches are infeasible for large database [5] and hence the research has focused on computing approximate answers [14]. An important probabilistic databases issue regards the efficient evaluation of top-k queries. A traditional top-k query returns the k objects with the maximum scores based on some scoring function. In the uncertain world the scoring function becomes a probabilistic function. [21] formalized the problem and [16] proposed a unified approach to ranking in probabilistic databases.

In this paper we adopt the probabilistic graphs framework to deal with uncertain problems exploiting both edges probabilistic values and edges labels denoting the type of relationships between two nodes. Our work exploits the reachability tool using a direct sampling approach and considers as a constraint, instead of the number of visited edges or the likelihood of the path, the concatenation of the labels of the visited edges going from a node to another. We can consider the approach proposed in this paper as a generalization of the DCR problem since we can consider homogeneous labels and a constraint length of the paths.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper the *Eagle* system integrating a framework based on probabilistic graphs able to deal with link prediction problems adopting reachability has been presented. We proposed a learning method to compute the most likely relationship between two nodes in probabilistic graphs. In particular, we used a probabilistic graph in order to represent uncertain data and relationships and we adopted the reachability tool to compute the probability of unknown interconnections between two nodes not directly connected. Each of these connections may be viewed as probabilistic features and we can describe each observed link in the graph as a feature vector. Given the training set of observed links a L2-regularized Logistic Regression has been adopted to learn a model able to predict the label of unobserved links. The application domain we chosen corresponds to the problem of recommender systems. The experimental evaluation proved that the proposed approach achieves better results when compared to that obtained with models induced by Singular Value Decomposition on the user-item ratings matrix, representing one of the best recent method for this kind of problem.

REFERENCES

1. Stefano Baccianella, Andrea Esuli, and Fabrizio Sebastiani, *Evaluation measures for ordinal regression*, Proceedings of the 2009 Ninth International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Applications, ISDA '09, IEEE Computer Society, 2009, pp. 283–287.
2. Sanjit Biswas and Robert Morris, *Exor: opportunistic multi-hop routing for wireless networks*, Proceedings of the 2005 conference on Applications, technologies, architectures, and protocols for computer communications, SIGCOMM '05, ACM, 2005, pp. 133–144.
3. Ian Clarke, Oskar Sandberg, Brandon Wiley, and Theodore W. Hong, *Freenet: a distributed anonymous information storage and retrieval system*, International workshop on Designing privacy enhancing technologies: design issues in anonymity and unobservability, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 2001, pp. 46–66.
4. Charles J. Colbourn, *The combinatorics of network reliability*, Oxford University Press, 1987.
5. Nilesh Dalvi and Dan Suciu, *Efficient query evaluation on probabilistic databases*, The VLDB Journal **16** (2007), 523–544.
6. George Dantzig, *Linear programming and extensions*, Princeton University Press, 1998.
7. Christian Desrosiers and George Karypis, *A comprehensive survey of neighborhood-based recommendation methods.*, Recommender Systems Handbook (Francesco Ricci, Lior Rokach, Bracha Shapira, and Paul B. Kantor, eds.), Springer, 2011, pp. 107–144.
8. H Frank, *Shortest paths in probabilistic graphs*, Operations Research **17** (1969), no. 4, 583–599.
9. Lise Getoor and Christopher P. Diehl, *Link mining: a survey*, SIGKDD Explorations **7** (2005), no. 2, 3–12.
10. J. Ghosh, H. Q. Ngo, S. Yoon, and C. Qiao, *On a routing problem within probabilistic graphs and its application to intermittently connected networks*, IEEE INFOCOM 2007 - 26th IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications, IEEE, 2007, pp. 1721–1729.
11. Ming Hua and Jian Pei, *Probabilistic path queries in road networks: traffic uncertainty aware path selection*, Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Extending Database Technology, EDBT '10, ACM, 2010, pp. 347–358.

12. Joseph J. Pfeiffer III and Jennifer Neville, *Methods to determine node centrality and clustering in graphs with uncertain structure*, Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Weblogs and Social Media (Lada A. Adamic, Ricardo A. Baeza-Yates, and Scott Counts, eds.), The AAAI Press, 2011.
13. Ruoming Jin, Lin Liu, Bolin Ding, and Haixun Wang, *Distance-constraint reachability computation in uncertain graphs*, Proc. VLDB Endow. **4** (2011), 551–562.
14. Christoph Koch, *Approximating predicates and expressive queries on probabilistic databases*, Proceedings of the twenty-seventh ACM SIGMOD-SIGACT-SIGART symposium on Principles of database systems, PODS '08, ACM, 2008, pp. 99–108.
15. Yehuda Koren, *Factorization meets the neighborhood: a multifaceted collaborative filtering model*, Proceedings of the 14th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining, ACM, 2008, pp. 426–434.
16. Jian Li, Barna Saha, and Amol Deshpande, *A unified approach to ranking in probabilistic databases*, The VLDB Journal **20** (2011), no. 2, 249–275.
17. Chih-Jen Lin, Ruby C. Weng, and S. Sathiya Keerthi, *Trust region newton method for logistic regression*, Journal of Machine Learning Research **9** (2008), 627–650.
18. G. Pandurangan, *Building low-diameter p2p networks*, Proceedings of the 42nd IEEE symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS '01, IEEE Computer Society, 2001, pp. 492–.
19. Michalis Potamias, Francesco Bonchi, Aristides Gionis, and George Kollios, *k-nearest neighbors in uncertain graphs*, Proc. VLDB Endow. **3** (2010), 997–1008.
20. Michael H. Pryor, *The effects of singular value decomposition on collaborative filtering*, Tech. Report PCS-TR98-338, Dartmouth College, Computer Science, Hanover, NH, 1998.
21. Mohamed A. Soliman and Ihab F. Ilyas, *Top-k query processing in uncertain databases*, In IEEE International Conference on Data Engineering, 2007, pp. 896–905.
22. Gayatri Swamynathan, Christo Wilson, Bryce Boe, Kevin Almeroth, and Ben Y. Zhao, *Do social networks improve e-commerce?: a study on social marketplaces*, Proceedings of the first workshop on Online social networks, WOSN '08, ACM, 2008, pp. 1–6.
23. Claudio Taranto, Nicola Di Mauro, and Floriana Esposito, *Probabilistic inference over image networks*, 7th Italian Research Conference on Digital Libraries and Archives (Maristella Agosti, Floriana Esposito, Carlo Meghini, and Nicola Orio, eds.), CCIS, vol. 249, Springer, 2011, pp. 1–13.
24. Claudio Taranto, Nicola Di Mauro, and Floriana Esposito, *Uncertain graphs meet collaborative filtering*, Proceedings of the 3rd Italian Information Retrieval Workshop (G. Amati, C. Carpineto, and G. Semeraro, eds.), vol. 835, CEUR-WS, 2012, pp. 89–100.
25. Tijn Witsenburg and Hendrik Blockeel, *Improving the accuracy of similarity measures by using link information*, ISMIS (Marzena Kryszkiewicz, Henryk Rybinski, Andrzej Skowron, and Zbigniew W. Ras, eds.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 6804, Springer, 2011, pp. 501–512.
26. Zhaonian Zou, Hong Gao, and Jianzhong Li, *Discovering frequent subgraphs over uncertain graph databases under probabilistic semantics*, Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining, ACM, 2010, pp. 633–642.
27. Zhaonian Zou, Jianzhong Li, Hong Gao, and Shuo Zhang, *Finding top-k maximal cliques in an uncertain graph*, International Conference on Data Engineering (2010), 649–652.